

Chapter **7**
Comments and Coordination

Chapter 7

Comments and Coordination

This chapter summarizes the agency and public consultation and coordination activities for the Project conducted to date. Project scoping, coordination and outreach activities included correspondence and meetings with government agencies, and contact with community organizations and other interested stakeholders, through small group and public meetings.

7.1 Agency Consultation and Coordination

7.1.1 Early Agency Briefings

Prior to the initiation of the NEPA process, the project sponsor conducted briefings with officials from the federal government, the Government of the District of Columbia and various regional agencies and elected officials about the Project. Some of these briefings included discussion of other projects in the District.

Federal Agencies

- Architect of the Capitol, February 17, 2010
- Department of the Navy, Navy Yard, October 5, 2009
- Department of Interior, National Park Service, September 10, 2009
- Marine Corps, Marine Barracks Washington, November 12, 2009
- National Capital Planning Commission
 - September 29, 2009
 - November 12, 2009
 - February 10, 2010
 - March 3, 2010

Government of the District of Columbia

- City Administrator, September 16, 2009
- Department of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs, June 2009
- Department of Environment, March 19, 2009
- Department of General Services
 - January 8, 2010
 - September 2, 2010
- Office of the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development
- Office of Planning
 - September 24, 2008
 - April 28, 2009
 - April 30, 2009
 - August 27, 2009
 - May 25, 2010
- State Historic Preservation Office

- July 15, 2009
- January 21, 2010
- September 2, 2009
- June 10, 2010

Regional Agencies or Organizations

- DC Water and Sewer Authority (DC Water), May 15, 2009
- National's Stadium Authority, November 11, 2009
- Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
 - April 4, 2008,
 - July 24, 2008
 - October 16, 2008 (site tour included)
 - June 17, 2009
 - June 26, 2009
 - July 15, 2009
 - September 4, 2009
 - September 10, 2009
 - September 16, 2009
 - January 28, 2010
 - July 8, 2010
- Washington Area Metropolitan Transit Authority, March 17, 2010

Elected Officials

- US Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton
 - May 1, 2008
 - November 10, 2009
 - September 2, 2010
- Former DC Mayor Adrian Fenty, April 29, 2010
- Then DC Council Chairman Vincent Gray and Staff, October 28, 2009
- DC Councilman Phil Mendelson
 - November 13, 2009
 - January 27, 2010
- DC Councilman Tommy Wells and Staff
 - July 6, 2009
 - December 14, 2009
 - June 10, 2008
 - September 24, 2009
 - November 20, 2009

7.1.2 NEPA Scoping

At the beginning of the NEPA process for this Project, the following agencies were contacted by letter from DDOT (see Appendix A) and were asked if they were aware of any environmental or social issues associated with the Project, or if they had any environmental or other concerns. An

asterisk (*) appears next to those agencies that responded to the request for comments letter. Copies of the response letters or emails are provided in Appendix A.

Federal Agencies

- National Capital Planning Commission*
- U.S. Commission of Fine Arts
- U.S. Department of the Army
- U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency*
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- U.S. Marine Corps

Government of the District of Columbia Agencies

- DC Housing Authority
- Department of Consumer & Regulatory Affairs
- Department of Housing and Community Development*
- Department of Public Works
- Department of General Services
- Department of Parks and Recreation
- Department of the Environment
- Fire and EMS Department*
- Office of Planning
- Office of Unified Communications
- Public Schools
- State Historic Preservation Office*

Regional Agencies

- DC Water
- Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments
- Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority

At the time of the scoping letter (August 2, 2012) and responses from the agencies identified above, the FHWA's NEPA classification of the project was an EA process (Class III). FHWA initiated the NEPA process on May 9, 2011. FHWA later elevated the NEPA classification to an EIS process (Class I) and released a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a Draft EIS in the Federal Register dated May 1, 2012. No agencies comments were received as a result of the NOI.

7.1.3 Interagency Meetings

Interagency meetings were held on the following dates, and all the meetings were held at the offices of DDOT:

- October 6, 2010
- July 28, 2011
- November 16, 2011

- March 15, 2012
- May 8, 2012
- September 11, 2012
- July 30, 2013
- November 5, 2013

The October 6, 2010 interagency meeting was held prior to the initiation of the formal NEPA process (May 9, 2011). The purpose of the October 6th meeting was to brief the attendant agencies about the National Gateway Initiative (NGI) generally, and about the Project Sponsor's proposed projects in the District of Columbia, which include the reconstruction of Virginia Avenue Tunnel and lowering of the rail line beneath New Jersey Avenue (the proposed action or Project).

The July 28, 2011 interagency meeting was used to solicit NEPA scoping comments from the agencies. Unlike the interagency meeting held on October 6, 2010, the July 28th, meeting focused almost exclusively on the Project. The topics discussed during the meeting included Purpose and Need, Project elements, and the environmental resources at and surrounding the Project Area.

The November 16, 2011 interagency meeting was used to introduce the Project concepts (see Section 3.7) to the agencies, and invite comments on these concepts.

The March 15, 2012 interagency meeting was used to explain the alternatives screening process. The screening criteria described in Section 3.7.2 were presented to the agencies.

The May 8, 2012 interagency meeting was used to present the materials planned to be presented at the May 21, 2012 public meeting (see Section 7.2.2). The results of the concepts evaluation (see Section 3.7.3) and information about expected construction and associated maintenance of traffic plans were presented.

The September 11, 2012 interagency meeting was used to present the materials planned to be presented at the September 27, 2012 public meeting (see Section 7.2.2).

The July 30, 2013 interagency meeting was used to present the materials planned to be presented at the July 31, 2013 public hearing (see Section 7.3.2).

The November 5, 2013 interagency meeting was used to solicit input from the agencies regarding selection of the Project's preferred alternative.

7.1.4 Agency Regulatory Coordination

Since the Project would require compliance with certain federal environmental laws and regulations, additional agency coordination and consultation was conducted as described below. Further information about these additional environmental requirements is provided in Chapters 3 and 4.

Endangered Species Act (ESA), Section 7

ESA Section 7 requires that federally-funded, authorized or permitted actions be done in a manner that will not jeopardize the continued existence of any plant or animal species listed as threatened or endangered (T&E), or adversely modify designated critical habitat. The Section 7 process involves consultation with either the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) or the National Marine Fisheries Service depending on the potentially affected species. The following letter correspondence was conducted to date regarding compliance with ESA Section 7 (see Appendix A):

- DDOT letter to FWS dated August 22, 2011 requesting a list of T&E species or unique habitat that may be affected by the Project;
- FWS letter to DDOT dated June 11, 2012 in response to the August 22, 2011 letter stating that there are no T&E species known to exist near the Project Area, but recommended additional consultation with the National Park Service (NPS) and the DC Department of the Environment (DDOE);
- DDOT letter to DDOE dated June 22, 2012 requesting information about District T&E or critical habitat at or near the Project Area;
- DDOT letter to NPS dated July 7, 2012 requesting information about rare species at or near the Project Area;
- DDOE letter to DDOT dated July 13, 2012 providing a list of Species of Greatest Conservation Need that may be in the general vicinity of the Project Area; and
- NPS letter to DDOT dated July 18, 2012 stating that there no rare species known to existing in or near the Project Area.

National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), Section 106

NHPA Section 106 requires that actions that are federally funded, authorized or permitted take into account the effect of such actions on any district, site, building, structure or object that is included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. The Section 106 process involves coordination and consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), and other agencies and organizations that have an interest in or is mandated to protect historic properties. In addition, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) is afforded the opportunity to comment on actions that may potentially affect historic properties. The following letter correspondence and other activities were conducted to date on behalf of the Project pursuant to NHPA Section 106 (see Appendix A):

- FHWA letter to potential consulting parties dated October 10, 2011 inviting them to participate in the Section 106 process.
- FHWA letter to the DC SHPO dated November 4, 2011 initiating the Section 106 process.
- DC SHPO letter to FHWA dated November 22, 2011 that:
 - Acknowledged the Section 106 initiation,
 - Noted that archaeological investigations were conducted on NPS-owned parcels Reservations 122 and 126, no archaeological resources were found and the DC SHPO has concurred with these findings,
 - Concurred with APE,

- Noted the existence of two sites within the 11th Street Bridge right-of-way, and
- Provided recommendations on consulting parties.
- Capitol Hill Restoration Society letter dated November 19, 2012 to FHWA that provided comments on the information provided to the Section 106 consulting parties for the Project (see below).
- Meeting with DC SHPO staff on March 21, 2012 to discuss the Project's Area of Potential Effects (APE) and Section 106 process.
- Meeting with DC SHPO staff on September 12, 2012 to discuss preliminary effect determinations and upcoming meeting with the consulting parties.
- Meeting with DC SHPO staff on January 10, 2013 to discuss potential mitigation measures.
- FHWA letter to the DC SHPO dated September 10, 2013 issuing an "adverse effect" in accordance with NHPA Section 106 for the Project.
- FHWA letter to the ACHP dated September 10, 2013 inviting ACHP to participate in the resolution of the "adverse effect".
- Meeting with DC SHPO and ACHP Staff on September 10, 2013 to discuss proposed mitigation measures to be included in the Section 106 memorandum of agreement.
- SHPO concurred with FHWA's "adverse effect" determination on October 10, 2013 by signing the bottom of the October 10, 2013 FHWA letter.
- ACHP letter to the FHWA dated October 28, 2013 declining to participate in the resolution of the "adverse effect".

The following consulting parties were invited or participated in the Project's Section 106 process:

- DC State Historic Preservation Officer
- Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- National Capital Planning Commission
- U.S. Commission of Fine Arts
- National Park Service
- U.S. Department of the Navy
 - Marine Corps
 - Naval District Washington
- Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)
- DC Department of Housing and Community Development
- DC Department of Parks and Recreation
- Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6B
- Advisory Neighborhood Commission 6D
- Barracks Row Main Street
- Capitol Hill Restoration Society
- The Capitol Riverfront Business Improvement District
- Capitol Quarter Home Owners' Association
- The Committee of 100 on the Federal City
- DC Preservation League

- Friends of Garfield Park
- National Railway Historical Society
- The Pennsylvania Railroad Technical & Historical Society
- St. Paul AUMP Church
- Virginia Avenue Community Garden
- Washington Area Metropolitan Transit Authority
- Select approved individuals with a demonstrated interest

The following consulting parties meeting were held:

- February 14, 2012: Topics included an introduction of the Undertaking (Project), Section 106 Process and Next Steps
- May 21, 2012: Topics included introduction of the APE and historic properties identified in the APE
- September 26, 2012: Topics included discussion of effect determinations and potential mitigation

7.1.5 Other Agency Meetings

Other agency meetings were held to discuss particular topics pertinent to the preparation of the EIS and design of the Project. These meetings included:

- Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) on January 3, 2012 to provide a Project overview (FRA later accepted cooperating agency status);
- DDOE on February 10, 2012 and April 4, 2012 to discuss permitting requirements;
- DDOT Urban Forestry Administration on July 11, 2012 to discuss the potential tree displacement impacts of the Project and permitting requirements;
- DC Department of Parks and Recreation on February 12, 2013 to discuss the potential impacts to Virginia Avenue Park and the approvals needed to allow construction; and
- NPS National Capital Parks-East on February 12, 2013 to discuss the potential impacts to Virginia Avenue Park and the approvals needed to allow construction.

7.2 Community Outreach

Information about the Project and how to provide input were communicated to the general public through:

- Community group briefings;
- Four formal public information meetings;
- Project Website; and
- Newsletters.

7.2.1 Community Group Briefings

To reach people who may not typically attend public meetings or have special expertise or needs, project team members have provided briefings to a number of community and civic

organizations, businesses and individuals. The following briefings before community and civic organizations, businesses and individuals were held. Most of these briefings were held prior to the initiation of the NEPA process.

Advisory Neighborhood Commissions

- ANC 6B
 - November 10, 2009
 - April 13, 2010
 - September 7, 2010
 - September 21, 2010
 - July 21, 2011 (Transportation Committee)
- ANC 6D
 - April 12, 2010
 - November 8, 2010
 - December 12, 2011

Community and Private Organizations and Individuals

- Arthur Capper Senior Apartments, April 7, 2010
- Barracks Row Main Street, October 1, 2009
- Capital Motor Works, April 7, 2010
- Capitol Hill Restoration Society
 - November 11, 2009
 - December 9, 2011
- Capitol Quarter Residents and Home Owners Association
 - June 1, 2010
 - November 9, 2010
 - March 22, 2012 (Association Board)
- Capitol Riverfront Business Improvement District Staff and Board
 - October 28, 2009
 - November 18, 2009
 - July 1, 2010
 - July 22, 2010
- Claudia Holwill, DC VoiceontheHill Blogger and Garfield Park Resident, October 21, 2009
- Cohen Group, August 19, 2010
- DOGMA
 - January 9, 2010
 - April 7, 2010
- EYA Housing Authority, December 3, 2009
- Garfield Park Association
 - October 7, 2009
 - November 12, 2009
- Greater Washington Board of Trade
 - November 30, 2009

- Lower 8th Street Vision, November 17, 2009
- StoneBridge/Carras, January 8, 2010
- Southwest Neighborhood Assembly, June 28, 2010
- Various Local Bloggers (VoiceontheHill, JDland (Washington Post), Greater Greater Washington; CHARTX; and HeardontheHill)
 - April 12, 2010
 - July 19, 2010 (walking tour)
 - February 3, 2010
- Virginia Avenue Park Community Garden
 - May 18, 2010
 - June 14, 2010
- William C. Smith Development Group, December 22, 2009

7.2.2 Public Information Meetings

A number of public workshops and meetings were held in advance of the public release of the Draft EIS. The purpose was to solicit feedback from the community on the Project and to involve the community in the development of alternatives for the Project. Various formats were used for the four public information meetings: traditional, open house, and modified open house/workshop. At each meeting, display boards containing project information were presented, forms for written comments were made readily available, and a verbatim reporter was available to record spoken comments. Copies of PowerPoint presentations, poster displays, completed comment forms submitted during and after the meeting (with personal information redacted), and meeting transcripts were made available on the project website.

Notices for the public meetings were placed in the Washington Post Express, the Hill Rag and El Tiempo (Spanish language newspaper). In addition, notices were placed on the project website and local

community websites and blogs, including JDland.com, Capitol Community News (ccn.com), and The Hill is Home (thehillishome.com). Meeting flyers in English and Spanish were prepared for all of the meetings and were mailed to households within the communities

Meeting Displays Boards



surrounding the Project Area. A mass flyer mail out was not conducted for the third and fourth public meetings, but email alerts were sent to those individuals who had signed up to be on the project email list through the project website.

All four public meetings were held near the Project Area to make it easy for residents living near the Project Area to attend the meetings. Shuttle service was provided for residents of the Capper Senior Apartments for the second, third and fourth meetings. The first public meeting was located one block from this residence.

Public Meeting 1

The first public meeting was held on September 14, 2011 at Van Ness Elementary School. An open house format was used, and the meeting principally served for public scoping to support the NEPA process. Display stations were available depicting the Virginia Avenue Tunnel, the freight

transportation industry, the NEPA process, potential key environmental issues, Purpose and Need, Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), and the study schedule. Project team members staffed

the stations to explain the information on the displays and answer questions from the public. Comments could be submitted on forms, noted on maps or verbally communicated to a verbatim reporter.

Public Meeting 1



Public Meeting 2

The second public meeting was held on November 30, 2011 at the Nationals Park. The purpose of the meeting was to present the project concepts as are described in Section 3.7.1. The traditional meeting format included a formal presentation followed by a moderated questions and answers session. Informational displays were staffed by the project team throughout the course of the meeting. The verbatim reporter transcribed the presentation and the comments, questions and answers that followed the formal presentation. Comment forms were also available.

Public Meeting 3

The third public meeting was held on May 21, 2012 at the Nationals Park, and again, a traditional meeting format was used, with open house displays. The purpose of the meeting was to present the concepts evaluation process and the concepts that were recommended to be further developed as the most viable candidate alternatives (see Sections 3.7.2 and 3.7.3). The maintenance of traffic plan was also presented. Similar to Public Meeting 2, the verbatim reporter transcribed the presentation and the comments, questions and answers that followed, and comment forms were available.

Public Meeting 3



Public Meeting 4

The fourth public meeting was held on September 27, 2012 at the Capitol Skyline Hotel. A modified open house format was used. The meeting began with a brief presentation. The participants were then asked to visit various stations where short presentations would be given by project staff. Following the presentation at a station, one-on-one conversations were initiated between the public and project staff. Each presentation and follow-up conversations were brief enough that a participant could visit several stations within the time frame provided. The stations included the following topics: sounds of transit (a tutorial about the nature and characteristics of sound), vibration, alternatives & construction sequencing, maintenance of traffic, construction practices, NHPA Section 106, and rail operations. The meeting ended with a general questions & answer session. Similar to previous public meetings, the verbatim reporter transcribed the opening remarks and ending questions & answers, and comment forms were available.

7.2.3 Project Website

The project website became active on August 16, 2011. The website contains a schedule of upcoming activities, public meeting materials, public comments, "frequently asked questions" and a webpage on the NHPA Section 106. Website visitors could submit comments or sign-up to be on the project email list.

7.2.4 Project Newsletters

Beginning in May 2012, seven project newsletters were published and distributed. The first newsletter appeared in May 2012, and subsequent newsletters were released starting in July 2012. The newsletters were posted on the project website, distributed to the project email list, and hand delivered to households near the Project Area. Copies of each of the newsletters released to date are provided in Appendix K.

7.2.5 Other Activities

Other public meetings were held or attended by elected officials wishing to obtain input from the community about the Project. These meetings were not held by the lead federal agency as part of the NEPA process. Staff from FHWA, DDOT and the project sponsor was not involved in the planning, organizing or facilitating the conduct of the meetings. However, they were asked by the elected officials to attend the meetings to be available to answer questions from the public. Two meetings were organized by Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton. The first meeting was held on November 23, 2013 at the Capper Senior Apartments, and the second meeting was held on January 25, 2014 at 200 I Street SE (DC office building). The third meeting was held on January 16, 2014 at 200 I Street SE, which was hosted by the community. This meeting was attended by Mayor Vincent Gray, and was used to convey community concerns to the mayor.

7.3 Draft Environmental Impact Statement

7.3.1 Availability

The Project's Draft EIS was announced on July 12, 2013 edition in the Federal Register. This notice also announced a 45-day comment period ending on August 26, 2013. However, the FHWA extended the deadline by 30 days in response to requests. The July 26, 2013 edition of the Federal Register announced the comment deadline extension to September 25, 2013. Copies of the Draft EIS were mailed to federal and District of Columbia agencies that may an interest in the Project. In addition, hard copies of the Draft EIS were made available at the Southeast and Southwest Public Libraries; DDOT offices at 55 M Street SE; the FHWA Washington, DC Division office at 1990 K Street NW; and the CSX Community office at 861 New Jersey Avenue SE. Electronic files of the Draft EIS were available for download from the Project website, <www.virginiaavenuetunnel.com>. All parties who were sent copies of the Draft EIS were asked to provide comments.

7.3.2 Public Hearing

A public hearing was held on July 31, 2013 between the hours of 6:00 PM to 8:30 PM at the Capitol Skyline Hotel. Notice of the public hearing was published in the Washington Post Express, El Tiempo, and the Hill Rag, the Hill and JDland websites. In addition, flyer advertising the hearing was distributed throughout the neighborhood surrounding the Project site.

The hearing included a formal presentation about the contents and findings of the Draft EIS. Following a short break, attendees were given the opportunity to publicly provide oral comments recorded verbatim by a stenographer. Another stenographer was made available for anyone who wished not to make comments in front of the public hearing audience. Those who commented at the public hearing are listed in Table 6-1. The public hearing transcript is available in Appendix L.

7.3.3 Comments

In addition to those who commented about the Draft EIS and Project at the July 31, 2013 public hearing, over 100 agencies, organizations and individuals provided written comments by letter or email. The names of these commenters are provided in Table 7-1, and their comments are provided in Appendix L. Responses to the comments are provided in Appendix L. The comments requiring responses are numbered, and the responses to these comments are provided on the same page.

Some of the comments received led to changes to the EIS. DDOT and FHWA considered all comments received in identifying the Preferred Alternative.

Table 7-1
Summary of Draft EIS Comments

| Agency, Organization or Individual | Comments Provided | Page # of Comment |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Public Places | | |
| Southeast Neighborhood Library | | NA |
| Southwest Neighborhood Library | | NA |
| District Department of Transportation | | NA |
| FHWA Washington, DC Division | | NA |
| Federal Agencies | | |
| Advisory Council on Historic Preservation | | NA |
| Architect of the Capitol | ○ | L-1 |
| Council on Environmental Quality | | NA |
| National Capital Planning Commission | ○ | L-15 |
| U.S. Commission of Fine Arts | | NA |
| U.S. Department of the Interior, Office of Environmental Project Review | ○ | L-17 |
| U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service | | NA |
| U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service | | NA |
| U.S. Department of the Navy, Naval District Washington | | NA |
| U.S. Department of the Navy, Marine Barracks Washington | | NA |
| U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration | | NA |
| U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3 Regional Office | ○ | L-21 |
| Government of the District of Columbia Agencies | | |
| Department of the Environment | ○ | L-11 |
| Department of Housing and Community Development | | NA |
| Department of Parks and Recreation | | NA |

Table 7-1 (Continued)
Summary of Draft EIS Comments

| Agency, Organization or Individual | Comments Provided | Page # of Comment |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Office of Planning | ○ | L-3 |
| Historic Preservation Office | | NA |
| Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency | | NA |
| Fire and EMS Department | | NA |
| Metropolitan Police Department | ○ | L-2 |
| Housing Authority | | NA |
| Office of the Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development | | NA |
| Regional Agencies | | |
| Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments | | NA |
| Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority | | NA |
| State Agencies | | |
| Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation | ○ | L-34 |
| Virginia Railway Express | ○ | L-36 |
| Elected Officials | | |
| Congresswoman Eleanor Holmes Norton | | NA |
| Mayor Vincent C. Gray | | NA |
| Council Chairman Phil Mendelson | | NA |
| Councilman Tommy Wells, Ward 6 | ○ | L-39 |
| Commissioner Brian Flahaven, Chairperson, ANC 6B | ○ | L-40 |
| Commissioner Andy Litsky, Chairperson, ANC 6D | ○ | L-139 |
| Utility Companies | | |
| District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority | ○ | L-6 |
| Washington Gas | | NA |
| Pepco | | NA |

Table 7-1 (Continued)
Summary of Draft EIS Comments

| Agency, Organization or Individual | Comments Provided | Page # of Comment |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Business, Civic, and Neighborhood Organizations | | |
| Barracks Row Main Street | | NA |
| Capitol Hill Restoration Society | ○(2) | L-77, L-92 |
| Capitol Riverfront Business Improvement District | ○ | L-72 |
| Capitol Quarter Home Owners' Association, Phase 1 | ○ | L-52 |
| Capitol Quarter Home Owners' Association, Phase 2 | ○ | L-66 |
| Casey Trees | ○ | L-76 |
| The Committee of 100 on the Federal City | ○ | L-100 |
| National Railway Historical Society Washington DC Chapter | ○ | L-150 |
| Sierra Club Washington DC Chapter | ○ | L-152 |
| St. Paul AUMP Church | | NA |
| Public Hearing Commenters | | |
| Brian Huseman, Capitol Quarter Homeowners Association | ○ | L-664 |
| Laura Salmon, Capitol Quarter Homeowners Association | ○ | L-669 |
| Andrew Shields | ○ | L-670 |
| Claire Schaefer | ○ | L-673 |
| Matthew Johnson | ○ | L-676 |
| Elizabeth Purcell | ○ | L-678 |
| Maureen Harrington | ○ | L-679, L-698 |
| Jesse Skidmore | ○ | L-683 |
| Paul Ghiotto | ○ | L-685 |
| Monte Edwards, Committee of 100 on the Federal City | ○ | L-687 |
| Jen McPhillips | ○ | L-689 |
| Anne DarConte | ○ | L-691 |

Table 7-1 (Continued)
Summary of Draft EIS Comments

| Agency, Organization or Individual | Comments Provided | Page # of Comment |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Shauna Holmes | ○ | L-694 |
| James McPhillips | ○ | L-696 |
| Karen Mills, St. Paul AUMP Church | ○ | L-699 |
| Rose Oliphant | ○ | L-700 |
| Elizabeth Lang | ○ | L-703 |
| Individual Commenters | | |
| Toni Aluisi | ○ (2) | L-165, L-166 |
| Amanda | ○ | L-168 |
| Malvika Bahadran | ○ | L-169 |
| Mark Baker | ○ (2) | L-180, L-190 |
| Meredith Baker | ○ | L-202 |
| Robin Barrett | ○ | L-207 |
| Kristen Belcourt | ○ | L-209 |
| Todd & Jill Marie Bell | ○ | L-210 |
| Raj Bharwani et. al. | ○ | L-221 |
| Adrian Black | ○ | L-228 |
| Scott Carlson | ○ | L-229 |
| Sarah Carr et. al. | ○ | L-230 |
| Elke Chen et. al. | ○ | L-240 |
| Jane Chittick | ○ | L-241 |
| Colin Clarke | ○ | L-243 |
| Nicole D'Ercole | ○ | L-246 |
| Anne Darconte | ○ | L-256 |
| Bruce Darconte | ○ | L-258 |

Table 7-1 (Continued)
Summary of Draft EIS Comments

| Agency, Organization or Individual | Comments Provided | Page # of Comment |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Danielle Davidowitz | ○ | L-260 |
| Mark Dellinger | ○ | L-268 |
| Heather DiSabella | ○ | L-277 |
| Marc DiSabella | ○ | L-279 |
| Juliana Duffy et. al. | ○ | L-281 |
| Albert Epps | ○ | L-289 |
| Meredith Fascett | ○ | L-299 |
| Anya French | ○ | L-309 |
| Christopher French | ○ | L-319 |
| David Furman | ○ | L-330 |
| Ila Furman | ○ | L-331 |
| Andrea Gilliam | ○ | L-332 |
| Jason Goldsmith et. al. | ○ | L-233 |
| Bradley & Tristan Goodrich | ○ | L-350 |
| Trish Hamburger | ○ | L-359 |
| Maureen Cohen Harrington | ○ | L-360 |
| Dan Hartinger | ○ | L-367 |
| Sara Hayhurst | ○ | L-368 |
| Michael Hess | ○ | L-378 |
| Richard Holwill | ○ | L-388 |
| Claire Horton | ○ | L-389 |
| Jennifer Howard | ○ | L-392 |
| Brent Jackson | ○ | L-393 |
| Michelle Joffe | ○ | L-402 |

Table 7-1 (Continued)
Summary of Draft EIS Comments

| Agency, Organization or Individual | Comments Provided | Page # of Comment |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Brent Johnson | ○ | L-405 |
| Fritz Kahn | ○ | L-406 |
| Anjelina Keating | ○ | L-408 |
| Anne Kingery-Schwartz | ○ | L-418 |
| Brian Kirrane | ○ | L-419 |
| Tara Kirrane | ○ | L-420 |
| Feza Koprucu | ○ | L-421 |
| Aaron & Jennifer Lancaster | ○ | L-431 |
| Todd Lard | ○ | L-441 |
| Elizabeth Latham | ○ | L-442 |
| Melissa Lee | ○ | L-444 |
| Ron McBee et. al. | ○ | L-464 |
| Margaret McCarty | ○ | L-473 |
| Jon McGill | ○ | L-475 |
| John McNeill | ○ | L-476 |
| James & Jennifer McPhillips | ○ | L-485 |
| Fred Millar | ○ | L-527 |
| Maureen Moore | ○ | L-539 |
| Jeff Ng | ○ | L-540 |
| Trevor Norris | ○ | L-541 |
| Christine O'Reilly | ○ | L-542 |
| Kelly Overbay et. al. | ○ | L-552 |
| Jonathan Price | ○ | L-553 |
| Elizabeth Purcel | ○ | L-555 |

Table 7-1 (Continued)
Summary of Draft EIS Comments

| Agency, Organization or Individual | Comments Provided | Page # of Comment |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Derek Rall | ○ | L-557 |
| Mauricio Renzi | ○ | L-567 |
| Marietta Revesz | ○ | L-568 |
| Bereket Selassie | ○ | L-569 |
| Cheryl Shapiro Low | ○ | L-579 |
| Linda Sherry | ○ | L-582 |
| Andrew Shields | ○ (2) | L-583, L-584 |
| Michael & Naomi Skena | ○ | L-596 |
| Jesse & Natalie Skidmore | ○ | L-606 |
| Erica Smith | ○ | L-608 |
| Helena Smolich | ○ | L-609 |
| Richard Stephenson | ○ | L-610 |
| Mary Strickland | ○ | L-618 |
| Irene Taguian et. al. | ○ | L-619 |
| Kenneth Thompson | ○ | L-629 |
| Maria Antonieta Trejo | ○ | L-631 |
| Eva Walter | ○ | L-633 |
| Jared Weaver et. al. | ○ | L-644 |
| Rhonda White | ○ | L-651 |
| Joshua Wiggins et. al. | ○ | L-654 |